

BLM Eagle Lake

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b – The narrative does not support “5 – 19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #9 - Applicant must verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has secured land to be developed for OHV Recreation”. The land identified is not newly acquired property. Also, the narrative does not support “Has created a special fund to set aside funding to sustain OHV Recreation”.

Ground Operations – Fort Sage	G11-01-08-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – The narrative does not address “Installing or repairing erosion control features”. • #5 – The participation identified for the Lassen County Sheriff’s Dept, Lassen Lands and Trails Trust, and the Susanville Chamber of Commerce are not project related. • #5 – Provide further details how the CA Dept of Fish and Game will participate in the project. • #6 – “Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate” is not related to this project. • #7 – Trail maps are not identified in the project. • #8 – The narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Acquisition – Fort Sage	G11-01-08-A01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other – In the “Note” section for “Parcel Purchase” the “Cost Breakdown” conflicts with the costs provided in item I in the project description. Provide the correct cost breakdown. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2b – Provide a reference document with the date. • #2c – Provide dates of the reference documents. • #3 – The narrative does not support “Expanding the types of vehicles...”. • #6 – Clearly identify stakeholders and interested parties. 	

Planning – Fort Sage	G11-01-08-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – Provide more detail for “Engineer”. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Narrative does not support checked item “Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents”. #4 – Identify stakeholders and interested parties. #7 – Narrative does not support checked item “...development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity”. 	

Planning – Rice Canyon	G11-01-08-P02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – Activities identified for “Maintenance Worker” do not appear to be related to this project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Narrative does not support checked item “Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents”. #4 – Identify stakeholders and interested parties. 	

Restoration – Fort Sage Turtle Mtn	G11-01-08-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – Narrative does not support checked item “Identification of alternate OHV routes...”. #5 – Applicant should supply date of publicly reviewed plan. #7 – Identify interested parties and stakeholders and provide date(s) for conference call(s) and meeting(s). #10 – The narrative does not support the response. 	

Law Enforcement	G11-01-08-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	